$15\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}\right.$, toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with $95 \%$ efficiency. Exposure of 15 to 3 equiv of methyllithium afforded diol 16 which was directly subjected to NMR examination ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution). ${ }^{23}$ In the presence of $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of tris[(trifluoromethyl) hy-droxymethylene- $d$-camphorato]europium(III), the diastereotopic methyl groups appear as two equally intense sets of twinned singlets at $\delta 4.0,3.8,2.7$, and 2.5 , sufficiently separated for accurate integration. Evidently, coordination to the lanthanide ion is adequate to cause restricted rotation about the tertiary hydroxyl bearing carbon.

The resolution of ( $\pm$ )-7 with endo-bornylamine ${ }^{24}$ afforded a diastereomeric crystalline salt, $\mathrm{mp} 107-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}$ $+114^{\circ}\left(c 2.72, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, after several recrystallizations from acetone. Recovery of the free acid from this salt gave an oily

product, $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}+151^{\circ}\left(c 3.22, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. The sequential conversion of this material into optically active $8,[\alpha]^{22}$ D $-21.5^{\circ}$ (c $2.34, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ ), and then into 16, followed by $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3}$ analysis, revealed that enantiomeric enrichment had progressed to a level of $>98 \%$ ee. That the desired antipode had been obtained was established by conversion of the acid into $(+) \cdot 13,[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{D}+236^{\circ}\left(c 1.06, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. When allowance is made for optical purity, the extrapolated rotation for $(+)-13$ becomes $241^{\circ}$, in excellent agreement with the $[\alpha]_{D}$ of an authentic pure sample. ${ }^{25}$

Thus, a preparatively useful route to a wide selection of prostaglandin hormones from the simplest of achiral conjugated dienes has become available. A noteworthy feature of this synthesis, apart from its simplicity, is the unambiguous placement of four contiguous chiral centers about a cyclopentane ring without the benefit of a stereodirecting group in either 1 or 2.
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## Asymmetric Total Synthesis of Brevianamide E

Sir:
The structure of brevianamide E , isolated from the culture medium of Penicillium brevicompactum, was assigned as 1 mainly on the basis of spectroscopic evidence and plausible biogenetic argument. ${ }^{\prime}$ More recently a degradation product of brevianamide E, deoxybrevianamide E [L-prolyl-2-(1', $1^{\prime}$ dimethylallyl)tryptophyldiketopiperazine (2)], was found in a toxigenic fungi, Aspergillus ustus, ${ }^{2}$ and synthesized. ${ }^{3}$
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However the stereochemistry of brevianamide E remained obscure. We here report the first total synthesis of optically active brevianamide E , which determines the relative stereochemistry and the absolute configuration.

Schotten-Baumann reaction of the acid chloride of $N$ -benzyloxycarbonyl-L-proline (3) with dimethyl aminomalonate ${ }^{4}$ gave the amide 4 (Scheme 1), mp 75.5-76 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{18} \mathrm{D}$ $-43^{\circ}$ ( c 0.1, EtOH), in $69 \%$ yield. After debenzyloxycarbonylation of $\mathbf{4}$, using $20 \%$ palladium/charcoal under 2 atm of hydrogen in methanol, the resulting amine 5 was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to afford the diketopiperazine 6 in $40 \%$ yield. Furthermore this cyclization was found to be effectively catalyzed by 2 -hydroxypyridine. ${ }^{5}$ Thus 6 was obtained as a single stereoisomer, $\mathrm{mp} 64-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{18} \mathrm{D}-54^{\circ}(c 0.111, \mathrm{MeOH})$, in $93 \%$ yield from 4 , by heating 5 at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in the presence of a catalytic amount of 2-hydroxypyridine.

Condensation of 6 with 3 -dimethylaminomethyl-2-(1',-

Scheme I
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2 a \text { and } 2 b R=H
$$
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$1^{\prime}$-dimethylallyl)indole ( 7$)^{6,7}$ was carried out by heating the mixture with sodium hydride in dimethylformamide solution at $55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , to give the two stereoisomers, $8 \mathrm{a}^{9}(22 \%)$, a syrup, $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-4.7^{\circ}(c 0.15, \mathrm{MeOH})$, and $8 \mathbf{b}^{10}(51.7 \%)$, mp $197-202^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-70.8^{\circ}(c 0.27, \mathrm{MeOH})$, separated by silica gel chromatography. Hydrolysis of $\mathbf{8 a}$ with sodium hydroxide in methanol at room temperature for 4.5 h , followed by heating of the resulting carboxylic acid 9 a in dioxane at $60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h , afforded deoxybrevianamide $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathbf{2},{ }^{11} 29 \%\right)$, $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-43.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.132, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, and its epimer $10^{12}(55 \%)$, $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-13.3^{\circ}(c 0.105, \mathrm{MeOH})$. The NMR spectrum of our synthetic deoxybrevianamide $E$ was in agreement with that (donated by Dr. Steyn) of the natural product. By the same reaction procedure as just described, $\mathbf{8 b}$ was converted via $\mathbf{9 b}$ into deoxybrevianamide $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{2}, 26.5 \%),[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-30^{\circ}$ (c 0.1 , $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, and the isomer $10(59.3 \%),[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-61.3^{\circ}(c 0.405$, MeOH ). The above results indicate that a small amount of epimerization occurred at the $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ position as well as at the $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ position during the decarboxylation step.

It was predicted from the examination of Dreiding models that oxidative cyclization of the unnatural (DL) isomer 10 would be more difficult and produce more strained products than would cyclization of the natural (LL) one. This was found to be the case. Namely, irradiation ${ }^{13,14}$ of deoxybrevianamide E (2), synthesized from 8a, in methanol containing Rose Bengal with a $200-\mathrm{W}$ halogen lamp at -8 to ca. $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h with oxygen bubbling, followed by treatment with dimethyl sulfide, produced brevianamide $\mathrm{E}\left(11,{ }^{15} 42 \%\right),[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-157^{\circ}$ (c $0.093, \mathrm{EtOH}$ ), and its isomer $12{ }^{16}(20.9 \%),[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+38.3^{\circ}$ ( c 0.060 , EtOH ), which were separated by LC using Waters $\mu$-Bondapak $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ and eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.5 \%\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2: 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The spectral data of this synthetic brevianamide E were consistent with those of the natural product. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The angular hydrogen at the $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{a}}$ position of 11 is expected to resonate at higher field than that of 12, because this hydrogen in 11 should be shielded by the ring current, while the same hydrogen in 12 should be deshielded by the presence of the syn-hydroxyl group. Since this proton in bre-
vianamide E was observed at 3.66 ppm whereas that in the isomer appeared at 4.28 ppm , the stereostructures of these two compounds were assigned as 11 and $\mathbf{1 2}$, respectively.

On the other hand, the dye-sensitized photooxygenation of 10 under the same condition as above for 7 h , followed by treatment with dimethyl sulfide, gave a rather unstable mixture of 13 and 14 in the ratio of $1: 2 .{ }^{17}$ The stereochemistries of the both compounds were also determined by the chemical shift due to the angular proton: 4.61 ppm in $13 \mathrm{and}<4.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ in 14. The above assignments are further supported from a mechanistic consideration since the approach of singlet oxygen from the less hindered side would form 11 and 14 rather than 12 and 13.

This asymmetric synthesis suggests that brevianamide E (11) is derived from L-tryptophan and L-proline.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. P.S. Steyn for his kind gift of the NMR spectrum of deoxybrevianamide E. We are also grateful to Professors T. Hino and M. Nakagawa for their useful information about the photooxygenation.
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